

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

KIMBERLY ANN SINGLETON,

Case No.: 3:21-cv-00473-WGC

Plaintiff

**Order**

v.

Re: ECF Nos. 1, 1-1

KILOLO KIJAKAZI,  
Acting Commissioner of  
Social Security,

Defendant

Before the court is Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 1) and complaint (ECF No. 1-1).

**I. APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS**

A person may be granted permission to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) if the person "submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets such [person] possesses [and] that the person is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor. Such affidavit shall state the nature of the action, defense or appeal and affiant's belief that the person is entitled to redress." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1); *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1129 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (stating that 28 U.S.C. § 1915 applies to all actions filed IFP, not just prisoner actions).

In addition, the Local Rules of Practice for the District of Nevada provide: "Any person who is unable to prepay the fees in a civil case may apply to the court for authority to proceed [IFP]. The application must be made on the form provided by the court and must include a financial affidavit disclosing the applicant's income, assets, expenses, and liabilities." LSR 1-1.

"[T]he supporting affidavits [must] state the facts as to [the] affiant's poverty with some particularity, definiteness and certainty." *U.S. v. McQuade*, 647 F.2d 938, 940 (9th Cir. 1981)

(quoting *Jefferson v. United States*, 277 F.2d 723, 725 (9th Cir. 1960)). A litigant need not “be absolutely destitute to enjoy the benefits of the statute.” *Adkins v. E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co.*, 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948).

A review of the application to proceed IFP reveals Plaintiff cannot pay the filing fee; therefore, the application is granted.

## II. SCREENING

“[T]he court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that-- (A) the allegation of poverty is untrue; or (B) the action or appeal-- (i) is frivolous or malicious; (ii) fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; or (iii) seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(A), (B)(i)-(iii).

Dismissal of a complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted is provided for in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) tracks that language. As such, when reviewing the adequacy of a complaint under this statute, the court applies the same standard as is applied under Rule 12(b)(6). *See e.g. Watison v. Carter*, 668 F.3d 1108, 1112 (9th Cir. 2012) (“The standard for determining whether a plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted under § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) is the same as the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) standard for failure to state a claim.”). Review under Rule 12(b)(6) is essentially a ruling on a question of law. *See Chappel v. Lab. Corp. of America*, 232 F.3d 719, 723 (9th Cir. 2000) (citation omitted).

The court must accept as true the allegations, construe the pleadings in the light most favorable to the plaintiff, and resolve all doubts in the plaintiff’s favor. *Jenkins v. McKeithen*, 395 U.S. 411, 421 (1969) (citations omitted). Allegations in pro se complaints are “held to less

1 stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers[.]” *Hughes v. Rowe*, 449 U.S. 5, 9  
2 (1980) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

3 A complaint must contain more than a “formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of  
4 action,” it must contain factual allegations sufficient to “raise a right to relief above the  
5 speculative level.” *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). “The pleading  
6 must contain something more ... than ... a statement of facts that merely creates a suspicion [of]  
7 a legally cognizable right of action.” *Id.* (citation and quotation marks omitted). At a minimum, a  
8 plaintiff should include “enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.” *Id.* at  
9 570; *see also Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009).

10 A dismissal should not be without leave to amend unless it is clear from the face of the  
11 complaint that the action is frivolous and could not be amended to state a federal claim, or the  
12 district court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over the action. *See Cato v. United States*, 70 F.3d  
13 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995); *O’Loughlin v. Doe*, 920 F.2d 614, 616 (9th Cir. 1990).

14 Plaintiff’s complaint names Nancy A. Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,  
15 and requests review of the Commissioner’s final decision. (ECF No. 1-1.)

16 Federal courts have sole jurisdiction to conduct judicial review of the Social Security  
17 Administration’s determination in this regard. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). Upon a review of  
18 Plaintiff’s complaint, it appears administrative remedies have been exhausted with the Social  
19 Security Administration. Therefore, Plaintiff’s complaint shall proceed.

### 20 III. CONCLUSION

21 (1) Plaintiff’s application to proceed IFP (ECF No. 1) is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff is  
22 permitted to maintain this action without the necessity of prepayment of fees or costs  
23

1 or the giving of security therefor. This order granting IFP status does not extend to the  
2 issuance of subpoenas at government expense.

3 (2) The complaint shall **PROCEED**. The Clerk is instructed to **FILE** the complaint (ECF  
4 No. 1-1).

5 (3) The Clerk shall **ISSUE** summonses and **SERVE** the Commissioner of the Social  
6 Security Administration by sending a copy of the summons and complaint by  
7 certified mail to: (1) Office of the Regional Chief Counsel, Region IX, Social  
8 Security Administration, 160 Spear St., Suite 899, San Francisco, CA 94105-1545;  
9 and (2) the Attorney General of the United States, Department of Justice, 950  
10 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Room 4400, Washington, D.C., 20530.

11 (4) The Clerk shall also **ISSUE** a summons to the United States Attorney for the District  
12 of Nevada and deliver the summons and a copy of the complaint to the U.S. Marshal  
13 for service to the U.S. Attorney's Office at 400 S. Virginia Street, Suite 900, Reno,  
14 Nevada 89501.

15 (5) The Clerk shall **SEND** Plaintiff (or counsel if Plaintiff is represented) sufficient  
16 copies of service of process forms (USM-285). Plaintiff has **20 days** to return the  
17 required USM-285 forms to the U.S. Marshal at 400 S. Virginia Street, 2nd Floor,  
18 Reno, Nevada 89509.

19 (6) From now on, Plaintiff, or counsel, shall serve upon the defendant, or the defendant's  
20 attorney, a copy of every pleading, motion or other document submitted for  
21 consideration by the court. Plaintiff shall include with the original paper submitted for  
22 filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was  
23 mailed to defendant or defendant's counsel. The court may disregard any paper

1 received by a district judge, magistrate judge, or clerk which fails to include a  
2 certificate of service.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: January 4, 2022

5 

6 William G. Cobb  
7 United States Magistrate Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23